CPATENT COOPERATION TRUSTY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:				PCT		
see form PCT/ISA/220				INTERNATION	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PGT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER A See paragraph 2 belo		
International application No. PCT/US2004/015802			International filing date (date 19.05.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 03.07.2003	
!	national Patent Class K5/078, A61K38	•	both national classification 4	and IPC		
Appli ENA	icant ANTA PHARMA	CEUTICALS, I	NC.			
1.	1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3.	3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Groenendijk, M

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3715



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

10/561718
International application No. PCT/US2004/015802

IAP20 RCC'6. CT/PTO 20 DEC 2005

	Box N	lo. I Basis of the opinion		
1.		egard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in nguage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. type of material:			
	\boxtimes	a sequence listing		
		table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. forr	mat of material:		
		in written format		
	\boxtimes	in computer readable form		
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:		
		contained in the international application as filed.		
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
	⋈	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.	h C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.		
4.	Additi	onal comments:		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/015802

	Вох	No. II	Priority
1.		The fol	lowing document has not been furnished:
		. 🗆	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		. 🗆	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
			quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.		has be	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
2	Δdc	litional c	phean/ations if necessary

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/015802

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
	the entire international application,				
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 12-16 as to IA				
because:					
⊠	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 12-16 as to IA relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
	see separate sheet				
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.				
	Can congrate chapt for further	datai	la .		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/015802

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-17

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

1-17

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-11,17

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/015802

APZOROLLILITY 20 DEC 2005

Re Item III.

Claims 12-16 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item V.

The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 00/59929

D2: WEINSTEIN B: "CHEMISTRY AND BIOCHEMISTRY OF AMINO ACIDS, PEPTIDES AND PROTEINS, PASSAGE" CHEMISTRY AND BIOCHEMISTRY OF AMINO ACIDS, PEPTIDES, AND PROTEINS, XX, XX,

vol. 7, 1983, pages 266-357, XP002032461

D3: WO-A-0009543

1.Novelty

The present compounds differ from the closest prior art compounds disclosed in D1 in the presence of azagly as N-terminal amino acid residue. Consequently the claims 1-10 and the related claims 11-17 are considered to be novel under Art.33(2) PCT.

II.Inventive step

- 1)Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art to the subject matter of claim 1, discloses macrocyclic inhibitors of hepatitis C NS3 protease, comprising the structure aa1-(subst.)Pro-ACCA, wherein the alpha carbon of aa1 is connected via a facultatively unsaturated alkylene group to the cyclopropyl ring and the substituent on the prolyl ring is of a (hetero)cyclic nature.
- 2)The subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs from the disclosure of D1 in that aa1 is azagly, wherein the alpha nitrogen radical is linked to the alkylene group. Said compounds also inhibit hepatitis C NS3 protease.
- 3)The problem to be solved may therefore be considered to be the provision of alternative inhibitors of hepatitis C NS3 protease.
- 4)However at the priority date of the application it was already well-known to substitute amino acid residues by their aza analogs, having the advantage of reduced enzymatic vulnerability without loss of activity of the compounds they are part off (e.g., see D2, pages 292-295). Therefore it is considered that a person skilled in the art, confronted

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/015802

with the problem posed, would even apply with preference an aza analog as substituent for a common amino acid residue as this substitution contributes to the enzymatic stability of the resulting compound, which is usually one of the problems during the development of new compounds for medical use.

- 4)Therefore the features disclosed in D1 and D2 would be combined by the skilled person, without exercise of any inventive skills in order to solve the problem posed. The proposed solution in independent claim 1 thus cannot be considered inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 5)The features of the dependent claims 2-10 and the related claims 11-17, as far as not discussed previously, have already been disclosed in D1 and/or D3 (e.g., see page 10, line 23 to page 11, line 9). It would therefore be obvious to apply these features with corresponding effect in the present compounds. Hence said claims are also considered to lack inventive step under Art.33(3) PCT.

For the assessment of the present claims 2-16 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.